4/18/70

Dear Chris,

Urlet 5/5 arrived only today, with enclosures. Again I respond through Gary, who cam register any disagreement he may have or add whatever he may feel is warranted. I am in complete disgareement with you. Please do not mistake bluntness for impoliteness, but your postulates are untenable, not founded in cerdible evidence and, in fact, are contradicted by the evidence that can be credited. You have belatedly come accross a variant of earlier apologists, the Commission was right but they did a sloppy job. Rubbish. The were not incompetents, were not honest, and they couldn't even manufacture a tenable case in the total absence of opposition. If there were any credible evidence that rifle had been used, it would be in the Report and the evidence. Faced with the opportunity of proving the bullet and fragments had been used, they dared not make th chemical tests. I could go on and on, but again , must tell you I simply cannot take time for futilities, neither my health nor the accumulating volume of work permitting it.

Frankly, I do not know how you can reach and believe such things from a reading of the official materials alone, and if you have read any of the substantial critical writing, well....

Xeroxing copies of the works I cannot print takes time I do not have and is astronomical in cost.

I believe that because this letter came by slow slow mail I have already addressed the other points to the degree I can.

Is it possible you cannot bear to face the horror of the reality?

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg